UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,096	05/11/2007	David W. Boykin	1523/2 PCT/US	6354
	7590 03/04/201 SON, TAYLOR & HU	EXAMINER		
3100 Tower Bly Suite 1200		STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
DURHAM, NC 27707		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/591,096	BOYKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Laura L. Stockton, Fn.D.	1020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the significant in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the AMENIAN APPLIANCE AND APPLIAN</li></ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	www.coutethe plate of filings a buief		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <i>28</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to. <u>28</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,16,18,20-27 and 29</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-15 and 30-43</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. 🛮 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	1 10/30/00) 1 apel 110(s)		
	/Laura L. Stockton/		
	Primary Examiner		
	Art Unit: 1626		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: See Applicant's proposed changes to the claims and the newly proposed claim 44.

The Declarations filed February 23, 2011 under 37 CFR 1.132 have been entered and considered. However, the Declarations are not sufficient to overcome the obviousness-type rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103. Firstly, the Declarations state that "W. David Wilson" is a co-inventor in the instant application. In response, it disagreed that "W. David Wilson" is a co-inventor in the instant application. None of the Oaths filed August 29, 2006, May 11, 2007 or October 14, 2010 list "W. David Wilson" as part of the inventorship in the instant application. The filing receipt dated August 27, 2007 does not list "W. David Wilson" as an inventor. The published WO {WO 2005/086754} of PCT/US05/07316 nor does the US-PGPUB of the instant application {US 2007/0276020} list "W. David Wilson" as an inventor. Secondly, it does not appear that the signature of "W. David Wilson" in the Declaration is an original signature (i.e., signature appears "cut and pasted"). See 37 CFR 1.4(d)(1).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons stated in the Office Action dated December 23, 2010, pages 7-9.